

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,336 12/09/2003		Hideto Sugawara	81912.0017	3548	
26021 75	590 02/10/2005	EXAMINER			
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 500 S. GRAND AVENUE			LOKE, STEVEN HO YIN		
SUITE 1900	MVEROE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90071-2611		2811		

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Kr /_			
			n No.	Applicant(s)				
081 4-41- 0		10/731,33	6	SUGAWARA, HIDE	то			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Steven Lol		2811				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANDING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay of the provision of t	I. 1.136(a). In no eve ply within the statu d will apply and wil ute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	December 20	004					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6 is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	n from consid						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		= : :	=	, ,			
11)∐	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTC	D-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	nts have beer nts have beer iority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage			
Attachmen	ıt(s)							
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	0)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		152)			
Pape	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/9/03</u> .		6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-	132)			

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 7-11 in the reply filed on 12/14/04 is acknowledged.

Page 2

- 2. Claims 1-6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12/14/04.
- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should disclose the structure of the device instead of the method to make the device. Correction is required.
- 4. Figures 11 and 12 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 110 (page 4, line 5). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being

Art Unit: 2811

amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In page 21, lines 14-15, the phrase "fist conductive type" is unclear whether it is being referred to "first conductive type".

Appropriate correction is required.

7. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear where is the first conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer in the light emitting device of claim 7. Is the first conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer being formed on the substrate?

It is unclear whether the p-n junction in line 6 of claim 7 is similar to the p-n junction in line 4 of claim 7.

Claim 9, line 2, the word "resses" is unclear whether it is being referred to "recesses".

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2811

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hayashi et al. (in the IDS filed on 12/9/03).

In regards to claim 7, Hayashi et al. show all the elements of the claimed invention in fig. 3F. It is a nitride based semiconductor light-emitting device [100], comprising: a substrate [1]; a first conductive type (n-type) nitride based semiconductor layer [4]; an active layer [7] (n-InGaN barrier layer/n-InGaN well layer) with a p-n junction (formed between the n-type active layer [7] and the p-type cladding layer [8]) formed on said first conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer [4], said active layer being made of a nitride based semiconductor layer having a p-n junction (formed between the n-type active layer [7] and the p-type cladding layer [8]); a second conductive type (p-type) nitride based semiconductor layer [9] formed on said active layer [7], said second conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer [9] being provided with uneven portions formed on a surface thereof; a first ohmic electrode [10] formed on the surface of said second conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer [9]; and a second ohmic electrode [11] formed on said first conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer [9].

In regards to claim 8, Hayashi et al. further disclose the uneven portions are protrusions.

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi et al.

In regards to claim 11, Hayashi et al. differ from the claimed invention by not showing the second conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer is made from p-type InGaAIN system materials.

It would have been obvious for the second conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer is made from p-type InGaAIN system materials because it depends on the desired contact resistance and the turn-on voltage of the light-emitting device.

- 12. Claims 9 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The first major difference in the claims not found in the prior art of record is the protrusions have fine recesses on a surface thereof. The second major difference in the claims not found in the prior art of record is the surface of said protrusions includes regions out of stoichiometric compositions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Loke whose telephone number is (571) 272-1657. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:50 am to 5:20 pm.

Art Unit: 2811

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sl

February 6, 2005

Stern Loke